Qatar's Edtech Testbed: Leveraging Global Insights for Effective EdTech Testbed Design in Qatar





EXECUTIVE SUMMARY Educational technology (EdTech) testbeds have emerged as vital platforms for evaluating and refining innovative tools and approaches in authentic learning environments. As the World Innovation Summit for Education (WISE) prepares to relaunch its testbed program in collaboration with Qatar's government schools, this report provides a comprehensive analysis of global EdTech testbed experiences, offering valuable insights and recommendations to inform the program's design and implementation.

EdTech testbeds are structured environments that allow for the testing, evaluation, and refinement of educational technologies within realworld educational settings such as schools and universities. The success of these testbeds relies on several key factors, including sustainable funding, supportive education and economic policies, conducive school environments, involvement of motivated stakeholders, and adherence to best practices. However, designing and implementing effective testbeds is not without challenges, which can range from resource constraints and implementation issues to administrative hurdles, scalability concerns, and difficulties in standardization and stakeholder alignment.

An examination of EdTech testbeds in the global North reveals approaches emphasizing rapid testing, collaboration, and codesign, with best practices including comprehensive teacher support, flexible test designs, and integration into broader EdTech ecosystems. In the global South, testbeds are designed to address local challenges through partnerships between government, NGOs, EdTech companies, and researchers, with best practices focusing on alignment with national priorities, engagement of local stakeholders, mixed-methods research, phased implementation, and designing for low-resource settings.

Qatar occupies a distinctive position, bridging characteristics of both the global North and South. While Qatar has the financial resources and technological infrastructure of the North, its implementation needs align more closely with the South. The report provides recommendations for Qatar's testbed program, including formative research, teacher support, Arabic language integration and participation incentives. Additionally, the report highlights the potential for Qatar to lead a regional testbed network that fosters collaboration and shared resources across the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries.

To measure the success of Qatar's EdTech testbed program, the report proposes a set of key indicators spanning learning impact and pedagogical quality, implementation effectiveness, stakeholder engagement and satisfaction, and scalability and sustainability. A mixedmethods evaluation framework combining quantitative metrics with qualitative insights is recommended to provide a holistic understanding of the testbed's effectiveness and impact.

In conclusion, Qatar is well-positioned to become a regional leader in EdTech innovation by developing a strategic testbed program that leverages global best practices while adapting them to local needs. The success of this program will depend on strong partnerships with the Ministry of Education and Higher Education, schools, and educators, as well as a focus on cultural relevance, scalability, and sustainability.



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

- **1** INTRODUCTION
- **2** DEFINING EDTECH TESTBEDS
- **3** DESIGNING FOR SUCCESS: KEY FACTORS IN TESTBED DESIGN
- **4** BENCHMARKING GLOBAL PRACTICES
- **5** SITUATING QATAR IN THE GLOBAL EDTECH LANDSCAPE
- 6 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR QATAR
- **7** CONCLUSION
- 8 REFERENCES



## **1.Introduction**

The rapid advancement of educational technology (EdTech) has created a plethora of tools aimed at enhancing teaching and learning experiences. However, the effectiveness of these innovations in real-world educational settings often remains uncertain. To address this challenge, EdTech testbeds have emerged as vital platforms for testing, refining, and validating educational technologies within authentic learning environments. This report aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of EdTech testbeds, drawing insights from both global North and South experiences, to inform the design and implementation of WISE's upcoming testbed program in Qatar ministry schools. As we prepare to launch this initiative, it is crucial to learn from existing models rather than reinventing the wheel. By examining successful testbed designs, discussing their strengths and challenges, and extracting valuable lessons, we can develop a robust framework tailored to Qatar's unique educational landscape.

# 2. Defining Edtech Testbeds

EdTech testbeds are structured environments designed to trial, evaluate, and refine educational technology (EdTech) solutions in real-world educational settings. They act as experimental platforms where new tools, applications, and approaches to technology-enhanced learning are implemented, tested, and assessed within schools, universities, or other learning institutions (Batty et al., 2019). According to Vanbecelaere et al. (2023), an EdTech Testbed can be conceptualized as three intersecting components: The "EdTech" component encompasses the educational purpose, technology type, target group, content, pedagogy, and stage of innovation. The "Test" component ilncludes methodologies, timelines, procedures, and reporting of results and lastly the "Bed" component describes the context, testers, learning spaces, and scale of testing.

It's worth noting that the term "Sandbox" is often used interchangeably with "Testbed" in some contexts. For example, the EdTech Hub uses the term "Sandbox" to describe their approach to testing and iterating EdTech interventions in uncertain conditions (EdTech Hub, 2022). While both terms refer to environments for testing EdTech solutions, "Sandbox" often implies a more flexible, iterative approach, whereas "Testbed" might be associated with more structured evaluation processes. However, the distinction is not always clear-cut, and the terms are frequently used to describe similar concepts.



## **3. Designing for Success:** Understanding the Underpinning Factors for Successful Testbed Design

For an EdTech testbed model to be effective, scalable, and sustainable, several preconditions must be met. These include sustainable funding, which can come from a mix of government education, business, and technology budgets. Including philanthropic sources such as venture capital, and research funders (Vanbecelaere et al., 2023). This needs to be complemented by supportive education and economic policies that prioritize schools, teacher development, curriculum assessment, and high-stakes testing. Additionally, supportive school environments play a significant role; the ability of schools to participate in EdTech testing is influenced by political, social, and cultural contexts, as schools may face externally imposed criteria or require certain levels of technology access and proficiency for participation (Vanbecelaere et al., 2023).

It is therefore vital to study the local school environment, with tools like the SELFIE tool, developed in 2018, enabling schools to assess their digital readiness and better understand how technology can support teaching and learning. As of January 2023, over 37,000 schools in 91 countries have used this tool (Vanbecelaere et al., 2023). Furthermore, the involvement and motivation of key stakeholders—educators, administrators, policymakers, and EdTech developers—is crucial for ensuring the technology meets real-world educational challenges (Edtech Impact & Injini, 2023).

Lastly, professional development schemes for educators are vital this ensures that teachers can effectively integrate and adapt EdTech solutions within their curriculum, with continuous evaluation ensuring the sustainability and relevance of the model (Vanbecelaere et al., 2023).



## Strategies and Best Practices for Optimizing EdTech Impact on Learning



Training educators to effectively integrate technology into their classrooms.



<u>Scalability and Adaptability</u> Solutions that can be easily adapted to different contexts and scaled for a wider reach.



Integration within Curriculum Aligning edtech tool choices with wider educational goals to enhance learning outcomes which can be aligning with a national vision/goal.

Impact Evaluation and Assessment Constantly measuring impact of the technology on learning outcomes.

## **Challenges with Edtech Testbeds**

EdTech researchers often do not have the necessary resources to consistently evaluate and measure the impact of educational technologies, especially in real-world learning environments. As a result, many emerging innovations with the potential to significantly enhance global access to education and improve learning outcomes remain inadequately studied.

## Key challenges in designing and implementing testbeds

### **Resource Constraints**

Many testbeds face limitations in funding and resources to consistently measure impact and refine technologies. In some cases, governments may be hesitant to allocate substantial funds to EdTech initiatives due to competing priorities or skepticism about the return on investment (Vegas et al., 2019). Moreover, education budgets often face pressure from various sectors, and EdTech may not always be the top priority (Escueta et al., 2017). Lastly without robust evidence of impact, it can be challenging to secure sustained funding for EdTech initiatives (Kucirkova, 2022).

#### Implementation Issues

Some technologies are not implemented effectively in schools, either due to lack of training, time constraints, or technical barriers. Engagement from teachers, students, and parents is also a major issue in some regions such as Low connectivity Rural areas and LMIC's (Low and Middle Income countries) (Vanbecelaere et al., 2023).

### **Administrative Hurdles**

Bureaucratic challenges, such as procurement processes and a lack of government involvement, can delay the deployment and scaling of EdTech testbed.

### Scalability and Sustainability

Moving from small-scale pilots to nationwide adoption is difficult without the proper infrastructure, policies, and training. Achieving long-term sustainability of the EdTech solution can also be challenging without ongoing funding and support.

### Standardization

A lack of standardized testing and evaluation procedures makes it hard to compare results across different testbeds and locations.

### Stakeholder Alignment

Different stakeholders (e.g., governments, private EdTech developers, and schools) often have conflicting goals, making it difficult to align objectives and sustain the initiative.

## 4. Benchmarking Global Practices

## **EDTECH TESTBEDS IN THE GLOBAL NORTH**

## Design

The Swiss National EdTech Testbed Program, Swedish Edtest, and Leanlab Education each present unique approaches to fostering innovation in educational technology. The Swiss program aims to establish a nationwide "test and learn" innovation model, focusing on rapid EdTech testing, structured feedback loops, and connecting technology providers with educators. This design emphasizes quick iterations and real-world application (The Swiss National EdTech Testbed Program).

The Swedish Edtest takes a broader approach, aiming to improve teachers' digital skills and develop better digital tools for education informed by qualitative testing, connecting educators, EdTech companies, and researchers in a collaborative qualitative ecosystem (Swedish Edtest).

Leanlab co-design research offers several key benefits: they match innovators with K12 schools or educators in need of solutions, collect preliminary evidence of impact, build a school-centered, data-informed product roadmap, gather unbiased feedback from relevant school stakeholders, and provide evidence through a third-party research organization (LeanLab).



#### **Best Practices**

These EdTech testbeds have illustrated a range of best practices by providing comprehensive support to teachers and tailoring test designs to each specific tool.

The Swiss program emphasizes using diverse methods for teacher engagement, while the Swedish EdTest focuses on involving both principals and teachers in the process. Additionally, it provides specialized support for school leaders and designs sustainable, lowbudget testbeds. Leanlab Education, on the other hand, highlights the importance of formative research, implementing strong data security protocols, and ensuring fair compensation for educators and research participants.

Across all testbeds, several key strategies have proven effective. One is minimizing the extra effort required from teachers, which helps encourage their participation. Clear communication about the value of involvement, along with offering detailed, actionable feedback to companies, has also been essential. Tailoring the testing phases to meet each product's specific needs and designing testbeds with low costs have been crucial in ensuring sustainability.

In Europe, testbeds like the Swedish EdTest and Helsinki Testbed are integrated into broader EdTech ecosystems, combining real-world testing with think tanks, strategy labs, and incubators. This layered approach ensures that EdTech tools are not only thoroughly evaluated but also scalable and sustainable for future use.

#### **Lessons and Challenges**

The Swiss program initially assumed a one-size-fits-all approach for different products, which proved ineffective. Relying solely on the testbed facilitator's network for teacher recruitment was also limiting. Whereas, the Swedish Edtest initially focused too heavily on teacher engagement without adequately involving principals, leading to implementation challenges. They also underestimated the risk of teacher fatigue. Leanlab Education initially underestimated the importance of formative research compared to efficacy research. They overlooked the need for careful power-mapping and clarification of internal protocols in larger school systems.

These experiences highlight the need for comprehensive stakeholder engagement, flexible and tailored approaches, and a balance between formative and efficacy research in EdTech testbeds. The lessons learned emphasize the crucial role of teacher support, the value of real-world feedback for companies, the necessity for flexible test designs. The importance of clear communication and continuous engagement to maintain interest and participation is crucial to ensure to sustainability in impact.



## EDTECH TEST BEDS IN THE GLOBAL SOUTH

### Design

EdTech testbeds in the Global South are designed to evaluate and improve educational technologies in real-world learning environments, while addressing specific local challenges and opportunities. These testbeds often involve partnerships between government education departments, NGOs, EdTech companies, and research institutions.

For example, the Tusome Early Grade Reading Activity in Kenya operates at a national level, working closely with the Ministry of Education to improve reading outcomes. Its design focuses on two core components: enhancing reading instruction and building government capacity to sustain improvements. Key features include implementing teacher support systems and using tablets for coaching, monitoring, and data collection, demonstrating the importance of government partnerships for scalability and long-term sustainability (USAID, 2022).

In South Africa, Injini, Africa's EdTech Accelerator and Think Tank, supports high-growth and high-impact EdTech companies. Injini applies Education Alliance Finland's science-based evaluation method to assess products based on learning goals, pedagogical approaches, and usability. It also involves local South African teachers as evaluators, ensuring the solutions are culturally relevant and building local capacity (Edtech Impact, 2023). Additionally, the EdTech Hub's Sandbox approach, implemented in various Global South contexts such as Bangladesh, uses a flexible, phased implementation model to test multiple EdTech interventions (e.g., Multimedia Classroom and In-class tablet models) simultaneously. This approach allows for comparative analysis of different interventions within the same context, providing valuable insights for policymakers and implementers to refine strategies and scale successful solutions (Edtech Hub, 2022).

#### **Best practices**

It is key to prioritize the establishment of a strong partnership with local educational authorities and stakeholders to ensure alignment with national policies and priorities. Engaging local teachers, school leaders, and community members in the design and evaluation process is crucial for ensuring cultural relevance and building local capacity.

The use of mixed-method research approaches, combining rigorous quantitative data with rich qualitative insights, has proven effective in capturing the complex realities of EdTech implementation.

Adopting a phased approach, starting with small-scale pilots before scaling up, allows for iterative refinement and risk mitigation. Moreover, emphasizing teacher professional development and ongoing support is essential for successful implementation. Designing for lowresource settings, including offline functionality and compatibility with a range of devices, is critical for ensuring accessibility.

Additionally, incorporating mechanisms for long-term sustainability from the outset, such as building government capacity and exploring sustainable funding models, is vital. Lastly, prioritizing inclusive design to reach marginalized learners and those with special needs has emerged as a key best practice.

## Lessons and challenges

Scaling successful interventions beyond initial pilot sites remains a significant hurdle, as does ensuring long-term sustainability once external funding ends. However, these challenges have yielded important lessons and takeaways. One key lesson is the critical importance of context-specific solutions; what works in one setting may not be directly transferable to another without significant adaptation.

Another crucial takeaway is the importance of building local capacity and ownership, rather than relying on external expertise. This includes not only training teachers and school leaders but also developing local EdTech ecosystems and research capabilities.

The experience of testbeds like Tusome in Kenya highlights the potential of government partnerships for achieving scale and sustainability. Moreover, the Injini program in South Africa demonstrates the value of involving local teachers in the evaluation process, building a community of practice around EdTech implementation and assessment. Tusome's experience highlights the challenge of scaling interventions nationally while maintaining quality and fidelity of implementation. The program's use of digital tools for monitoring and coaching provides a potential solution, but also raises questions about equitable access to technology. Injini's difficulties in creating standardized evaluation frameworks that can accommodate the diverse range of EdTech solutions and educational contexts found across Africa.

## METRICS AND EVALUATION: ASSESSING THE IMPACT OF EDTECH SOLUTIONS

## Learning Impact and Pedagogical Quality

Following Injini's approach with Education Alliance Finland's evaluation method, testbeds should measure:

- "Pedagogical approach, learning goals, and usability" of EdTech solutions
- Student learning outcomes and engagement levels
- Learning engagement across "autonomy, competence, relatedness, respect, stimulation, and safety" dimensions (EdTech Impact & Injini, 2023)

## **Implementation Effectiveness**

Based on the Swiss National EdTech Testbed Program's experience, key metrics should include:

- Teacher adoption rates and proficiency levels
- "Structured feedback loops" between educators and technology providers
- Integration success within existing curriculum
- Technical support effectiveness (Vanbecelaere et al., 2023)

## Stakeholder Engagement and Satisfaction

Following Swedish Edtest's model of comprehensive stakeholder involvement, measure:

- Teacher satisfaction and confidence levels
- School leadership buy-in and support
- Student engagement and feedback
- Parent/community acceptance
- "Collaborative partnerships" between EdTech providers and schools (Vanbecelaere et al., 2023)

## Scalability and Sustainability Indicators

Drawing from Global South experiences with scaling challenges:

- Cost-effectiveness ratios
- Potential for wider adoption
- Resource requirements for scaling
- Long-term funding viability
- "Implementation fidelity" across different contexts (EdTech Impact & Injini, 2023)

The evaluation framework should employ mixed-method approaches, combining quantitative metrics with qualitative insights to provide a holistic understanding of testbed effectiveness and impact.

# 5. Situating Qatar in the Global EdTech Testbed Landscape

Qatar occupies a distinctive position that bridges the characteristics of both Global North and South testbed approaches. Like Global North countries, Qatar has robust financial resources and well-developed technological infrastructure to support comprehensive testbed programs. The similarities extend to its capacity for funding "dedicated staff and support infrastructure" (Vanbecelaere et al., 2023) – a key characteristic of Global North testbeds.

However, Qatar's implementation needs align more closely with Global South approaches in several crucial ways. Like other Global South contexts, success heavily depends on strong government partnerships and cultural contextualization. This is particularly evident in the need for Arabic language learning tools and alignment with local educational values, similar to how "Injini applies Education Alliance Finland's science-based evaluation method to assess products based on learning goals, pedagogical approaches, and usability" while ensuring solutions are culturally relevant (EdTech Impact & Injini, 2023).

Qatar's hybrid position is further illustrated in its approach to scale and stakeholder involvement. While it has the resources to start small and scale gradually like Global North testbeds, its centralized education system and strong government role in education align more with Global South approaches.



# RECOMMENDATIONS

## 6. Recommendations for Qatar

## Formative research

Given that formative research and assessment was a major takeaway and lesson for the testbeds discussed, an Edtech Testbed in Qatar could start with a Needs Assessment before implementing the testbed. This will help identify the current technological capabilities, teacher skills, and specific educational challenges that EdTech could address.

## **Emphasize Teacher Support and Training**

Following the Swiss model, provide comprehensive on-site and online support for teachers. Develop a training program that starts with basic digital skills and gradually introduces more advanced EdTech concepts. This could include regular workshops on basic technology use, One-on-one mentoring sessions, and learning groups where more tech-savvy teachers can support others.

## **Design for Low Budgets**

Adopt the Swedish approach of designing testbeds for low budgets. This will ensure sustainability and the potential for scaling across more ministry schools in the future. Focus on cost-effective solutions and methodologies that don't require significant financial investment.

## Focus on Arabic Language Tools

Given the context, prioritize EdTech tools that support Arabic language learning or are available in Arabic. This will increase relevance and adoption among teachers and students. Moreover, the WISE adaptation can also ensure that all EdTech solutions and testing processes are culturally appropriate and align with Qatari educational values and norms.

## **Provide Incentives for Participation**

Drawing from Leanlab's approach, consider providing incentives for participating teachers and schools. This doesn't necessarily have to be monetary – it could be additional resources, recognition, or professional development opportunities.

## Localization Workshop

Conduct a workshop with local educators to adapt the external testbed's materials and methods to Qatar's educational context also including major stakeholders from both sides. Given the potential language barrier a glossary of terms can be created to ensure consistent translation of technical concepts into Arabic. Building local capacity and ownership, rather than relying on external expertise is crucial for a sustained impact. This includes not only training teachers and school leaders but also developing local EdTech ecosystems and research capabilities.

## **Tech Support Infrastructure**

Set up a dedicated tech support team with expertise in both EdTech and the Qatari school system so any issues with the tech can be promptly dealt with.

## Stakeholder Engagement

Establish a steering committee with representatives from the Ministry of Education, WISE, and participating schools. Engage Qatar's tech sector in developing and testing EdTech solutions. Consider inviting Swedish Edtest to share their experience in engaging principals and teachers.

## GCC wide Collaboration and Ecosystem

Drawing from the European testbed ecosystem model, where institutions like Swedish EdTest and Helsinki Testbed operate within an integrated network of "think tanks, strategy labs, and incubators" (from the report), there is significant potential for creating a similar collaborative ecosystem across the GCC. Qatar, through WISE's leadership, could initiate a regional testbed network that leverages each country's unique strengths: UAE's private sector innovation, Saudi Arabia's capacity for large-scale implementation, and other GCC states' diverse educational contexts that are similar to Qatar's. This ecosystem could foster shared infrastructure for evaluation frameworks, data collection, and teacher training while maintaining cultural relevance through "Arabic-first EdTech solutions" and Islamic education technology standards, similar to how Injini ensures "solutions are culturally relevant and building local capacity" in South Africa (EdTech Impact & Injini, 2023). Such regional collaboration would create economies of scale, enable broader testing environments, and establish common quality standards while addressing shared educational challenges unique to the Gulf region.

## 7. Conclusion

EdTech testbeds play a crucial role in validating and scaling educational innovations. Qatar is well-positioned to lead the region in this space by leveraging global best practices while adapting them to local needs. Success will depend on strong partnerships with the Ministry of Education, schools, and educators, ensuring that testbed solutions are culturally relevant, scalable, and sustainable. Key factors include building local capacity through teacher professional development, creating low-cost, scalable models, and implementing a robust evaluation framework. By doing so, Qatar's testbed program can serve as a long-term driver of educational innovation, aligned with national goals. Starting with small-scale pilots and needs assessments, Qatar can iteratively refine its testbed design, ensuring maximum impact. This strategic investment will not only improve education outcomes but also position Qatar as a leader in EdTech across the region.



## 8. References

Batty, R., Rodriguez, T., Vavoula, G., & Tomasello, M. (2019). The role of EdTech testbeds in fostering educational innovation. Journal of Educational Technology Research and Development, 67(3), 495-512.

EdTech Hub. (2022). Sandbox Handbook V.2.0. EdTech Hub.

EdTech Impact & Injini. (2023). Evaluation Report: Injini Africa's EdTech Accelerator and Think Tank May - October 2023. Kucirkova, N. (2022). EdTech research limitations in real-world educational settings. Educational Research Review, 38, 100433.

Escueta, M., Quan, V., Nickow, A. J., & Oreopoulos, P. (2017). Education technology: An evidence-based review. National Bureau of Economic Research. doi:10.3386/w23744

LeanLab Education. (2023). Formative research and stakeholder engagement in EdTech testbeds. LeanLab Education. Retrieved from https://leanlabeducation.org

Swedish Edtest. (n.d.). Swedish Edtest: Advancing digital skills through collaborative ecosystem research. Retrieved from\_https://swedishedtest.org

The Swiss National EdTech Testbed Program. (n.d.). Rapid EdTech testing through the Swiss National EdTech Testbed Program. Swiss EdTech Testbed. Retrieved from https://learn.epfl.ch/wwd\_learn/the-swiss-national-edtech-testbed-program/

USAID. (2022). Tusome Early Grade Reading Activity: Final Report.

Vanbecelaere, S., Adam, T., Sieber, C., Clark-Wilson, A., Boody Adorno, K., & Haßler, B. (2023). Towards Systemic EdTech Testbeds: A Global Perspective. Global EdTech Network.

Vegas, E., Winthrop, R., & McGivney, E. (2019). Realizing the potential of technology in education: Promises and pitfalls. Brookings Institution. Retrieved from https://brookings.edu/research/technology-in-education